



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ml

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,515	11/12/2003	Fei Luo	BEAS-1339US2	7689
23910	7590	02/28/2007	EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ZHEN, LIB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,515	LUO ET AL.
	Examiner Li B. Zhen	Art Unit 2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 4-6,9,10,13,16 and 24-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 4-6,9,10,13,16 and 24-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4 – 6, 9, 10, 13, 16 and 24 – 26 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. **Claims 10, 13, 16, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,993,774 to Glass in view of U.S. Patent**

Application Publication No. 2004/0143835 to Dattke et al. [hereinafter Dattke], both references cited in the previous office action.

6. As to claim 10, Glass teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a computer-readable medium carrying instructions for processing an invocation at a dynamically generated wrapper [dynamic generation of remote proxies; col. 6, lines 40 – 55], comprising the steps of:

receiving, from an application [Local object 20 may request access to subject object 18; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7], an invocation [In order to isolate the distributed processing communication requirements from local object 20, a remote proxy object 22 may be created on server system 12 and loaded onto client system 14; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7] directed to a wrapped resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55];

initiating pre-processing by calling a pre-invocation handler configured to execute server-side code [Type object 204 forwards the message to the appropriate EJB function object 206 for preliminary processing; col. 15, lines 38 – 56];

calling the wrapped object [Local object 20 communicates with remote proxy object 22 which then communicates with subject object 18; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7];

receiving a result from the wrapped object [Reference object 158 decodes the result and passes it to remote proxy 154; col. 13, lines 40 – 58];

initiating post-processing by calling a post-invocation handler configured to execute post processing server-side tasks [Set of streamers 180 handles the encoding and transmission of arguments and results according to the communication protocol used by the receiving object; col. 14, lines 13 – 31]; and

providing the result to the application [Remote proxy 154 then makes the result available to client application 108; col. 13, lines 40 – 58] thereby enabling the application to access vendor specific methods [Communications between client application 108 and server object 110 proceed by client application 108 communicating with remote proxy 154 through its interface IProxy 152; col. 13, lines 25 – 40] of the wrapped resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55].

Although Glass teaches the invention substantially, Glass does not specifically teach enabling the application program to access vendor specific extension methods of the wrapped resource adapter.

However, Dattke teaches an application extension runtime environment for vendor objects [an application extension runtime environment 100 for standard applications; pp. 2-3, paragraph 0031], generating a wrapper class [dynamic proxy] comprising at least one of vendor specific extension methods [extension object] from the vendor [standard application; p. 4, paragraph 0038] class [generate a dynamic proxy for the an extension object implemented by the application extension; pp. 2-3, paragraph 0031], generating a wrapper object as an instance of the wrapper class by instantiating the wrapper class [p. 3, paragraph 0035], and enabling the application

program to access vendor specific extension methods of the wrapped resource adapter [dynamic proxy for the extension object interface can also include interfaces to call the concrete methods of the extension object; p. 3, paragraph 0034].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Glass with Dattke because Dattke's teachings allows dynamic proxy classes to provide extensions to standard applications [p. 1, paragraph 0005 of Dattke] and permits standard applications to be modified as part of a future release without requiring any specific modifications to support existing application extension [p. 2, paragraph 0023 of Dattke]. Dynamic proxy classes can be used to create a type-safe proxy object for a list of interfaces without requiring pre-generation of the class prior to compilation [p. 1, paragraph 0005 of Dattke] and provides the advantage of allowing customers of the standard application to customize the features of the standard application by providing customer-specific extensions for the features implemented by the standard application [p. 1, paragraph 0002 of Dattke].

7. As to claim 24, Glass teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a computer-readable medium carrying instructions for processing an invocation at a dynamically generated wrapper [dynamic generation of remote proxies; col. 6, lines 40 – 55], comprising the steps of:

receiving, from an application [Local object 20 may request access to subject object 18; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7], a method invocation [In order to isolate the distributed processing communication requirements from local object 20, a remote

Art Unit: 2194

proxy object 22 may be created on server system 12 and loaded onto client system 14; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7] to a resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55];

calling a wrapper object for processing the method invocation [Local object 20 communicates with remote proxy object 22 which then communicates with subject object 18; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7] wherein the wrapper object is dynamically generated [byte code generator 42 is to directly generate the executable code corresponding to JClass information 38. JClass information 38 is the definition of the Java class of which remote proxy object 22 is an instance; col. 9, lines 10 – 28] from a resource adapter class [Remote proxy object 22 has an interface and list of methods identical to subject object 18; col. 5, lines 52 – col. 6, line 6];

initiating pre-processing by the wrapper object, wherein the wrapper object calls a pre-invocation handler configured to perform server side logic [Type object 204 forwards the message to the appropriate EJB function object 206 for preliminary processing; col. 15, lines 38 – 56];

forwarding the method invocation to the resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55] by the wrapper object on behalf of the application [Local object 20 communicates with remote proxy object 22 which then communicates with subject object 18; col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 7];

receiving a result of the method invocation from the resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55] by the wrapper object

[Reference object 158 decodes the result and passes it to remote proxy 154; col. 13, lines 40 – 58];

initiating post-processing by the wrapper object, wherein the wrapper object calls a post-invocation handler configured to perform server-side logic [Set of streamers 180 handles the encoding and transmission of arguments and results according to the communication protocol used by the receiving object; col. 14, lines 13 – 31]; and

providing the result to the application [Remote proxy 154 then makes the result available to client application 108; col. 13, lines 40 – 58], thereby enabling the application to access vendor specific methods [Communications between client application 108 and server object 110 proceed by client application 108 communicating with remote proxy 154 through its interface IProxy 152; col. 13, lines 25 – 40] of the resource adapter [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55].

Although Glass teaches the invention substantially, Glass does not specifically teach enabling the application program to access vendor specific extension methods of the wrapped vendor object.

However, Dattke teaches an application extension runtime environment for vendor objects [an application extension runtime environment 100 for standard applications; pp. 2-3, paragraph 0031], generating a wrapper class [dynamic proxy] comprising at least one of vendor specific extension methods [extension object] from the vendor [standard application; p. 4, paragraph 0038] class [generate a dynamic proxy for the an extension object implemented by the application extension; pp. 2-3, paragraph 0031], generating a wrapper object as an instance of the wrapper class by

instantiating the wrapper class [p. 3, paragraph 0035], and enabling the application program to access vendor specific extension methods of the wrapped resource adapter [dynamic proxy for the extension object interface can also include interfaces to call the concrete methods of the extension object; p. 3, paragraph 0034].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Glass with Dattke because Dattke's teachings allows dynamic proxy classes to provide extensions to standard applications [p. 1, paragraph 0005 of Dattke] and permits standard applications to be modified as part of a future release without requiring any specific modifications to support existing application extension [p. 2, paragraph 0023 of Dattke]. Dynamic proxy classes can be used to create a type-safe proxy object for a list of interfaces without requiring pre-generation of the class prior to compilation [p. 1, paragraph 0005 of Dattke] and provides the advantage of allowing customers of the standard application to customize the features of the standard application by providing customer-specific extensions for the features implemented by the standard application [p. 1, paragraph 0002 of Dattke].

8. As to claim 13, Glass teaches the server-side code executed by the pre-invocation handler includes transaction processing code [Preliminary common processing may include...transaction management; col. 15, lines 38 – 57].

9. As to claim 16, Glass teaches the post-processing server-side tasks include transaction management [generated class functionality may include...transaction management; col. 15, lines 1 – 16].

10. As to claim 25, Glass teaches the server-side logic includes at least one of transaction management [generated class functionality may include...transaction management; col. 15, lines 1 – 16], pooling, caching, tracing and profiling.

11. **Claims 4 – 6, 9 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glass in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,051,324 to Gissel et al. [hereinafter Gissel].**

12. As to claim 26, Glass teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a computer-readable medium carrying instructions for dynamically generating a wrapper object [dynamic generation of remote proxies; col. 6, lines 40 – 55], comprising the steps of:

receiving a resource adapter class [reads the associated class 252 from a class repository, col. 18, lines 56 – 63, see Fig. 11, element 252 can be either class or object; Glass also discloses locating the subject object, step 26, Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 19 - 35; Examiner notes that the specification does not specifically define a vendor object, therefore a vendor object is given its plain meaning and is interpreted as object that provides services to other applications. The subject object as disclosed in Glass exists

on a server system and provides services to clients, see col. 8, lines 1 – 12. Therefore, the subject object as disclosed in Glass corresponds to the recited vendor object.] at an application server [server systems 12; col. 4, line 62 – col. 5, line 8];

performing reflection on the resource adapter class [invokes reflection engine 36 to determine information regarding subject class 19; col. 8, lines 1 – 12] to identify interfaces implemented by the resource adapter class [proxy object 22 which contains the interfaces; col. 6, lines 40 – 55];

dynamically generating a wrapper class at runtime [generate the byte codes that define the class of subject object 18, col. 6, line 55 – col. 7, line 6; remote proxy for the subject object will inherit all of the variables and methods of its ancestors; col. 7, lines 58 – 67];

instantiating a wrapper object from the wrapper class [class loader 46 takes the generated bytes of remote proxy class 23 stored in memory and loads them into a class structure which then can be instantiated to create remote proxy object 22; col. 10, lines 1 – 10]; and

providing the wrapper object [generated interface is associated with subject class 19; col. 8, lines 40 – 48] to an application that requires support for the interfaces implemented by the resource adapter class [col. 6, lines 40 – 55].

Although Glass teaches the wrapper class implements the interfaces identified through reflection [invokes reflection engine 36 to determine information regarding subject class 19; col. 8, lines 1 – 12], Glass does not specifically disclose dynamically generating a wrapper class that extends from a superclass, wherein the superclass

implements Java Database Connectivity, Java Messaging Service, or Java Connector Architecture interfaces.

However, Gissel teaches dynamically generating a wrapper class [col. 4, lines 25 – 45] that extends from a superclass [administration client (32) is also provided which provides interfaces to the external Classloader Tooling vendor's code; col. 8, lines 1 – 11], wherein the superclass implements Java Database Connectivity, Java Messaging Service [JMX; col. 8, lines 10 – 19], or Java Connector Architecture interfaces [Remote Machine Interface ("RMI") connector (34); col. 8, lines 1 – 11].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glass to incorporate the features of dynamically generating a wrapper class that extends from a superclass, wherein the superclass implements Java Database Connectivity, Java Messaging Service, or Java Connector Architecture interfaces because this allows software developers to easily integrate their new designs with existing network management solutions [col. 2, lines 35 – 46 of Gissel] and allows the application modules to load tool classes in a standard, which makes the system more open to tool vendors and less dependent on proprietary methods and interfaces [col. 6, line 57 – col. 7, line 2 of Gissel].

13. As to claim 4, Glass teaches the superclass includes logic to handle server side tasks [forwards the message to the appropriate EJB function object 206 for preliminary processing; col. 15, lines 38 – 56].

14. As to claim 5, Glass teaches the wrapper class is generated in bytecode [byte codes representing remote proxy class 23 are generated; col. 7, lines 20 – 35].

15. As to claim 6, Glass teaches bytecode is generated for vendor methods [byte codes representing remote proxy class 23 are generated; col. 7, lines 20 – 35] not implemented in the superclass [superclass remote proxies; col. 7, lines 56 – 67; examiner notes that the superclass remote proxies include all of the variables and methods of the subject class' ancestors, therefore, the subject class would include methods that are not implemented in the superclass].

16. As to claim 9, Glass teaches Enterprise Java Beans [col. 15, lines 1 – 16] and Enterprise JavaBeans technology is the server-side component architecture for Java Platform, Enterprise Edition (Java EE).

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0028031 discloses a system for implementing standard applications on an intelligent network service control point.

CONTACT INFORMATION

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen
Examiner
Art Unit 2194

LBZ



2/19/2007